



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,130	06/30/2000	Erik J. Shahoian	IMM1P086B	2149
759	90 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER
Phil Albert, Es	quire	LESPERANCE, JEAN E		
Townsend & To	wnsend		<u></u>	
Two Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eighth Floor			2674	. /
San Francisco, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 12/24/200	3 <i>16</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A I' Ai NI	
. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/608,130	SHAHOIAN, ERIK J.
·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean E Lesperance	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of this application in the control of the contr	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE control which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFl	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	••	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	· /	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel	)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13, 15-17, and 24-39</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	. /// •///
10. Other:	, , , , , ,	BIOSMOTA WITEERS
S. Patent and Trademark Office	CHE	Manager Comments
	ory Action	Part of Paper No. 6

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) \_.09/608,130

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claims 1, 11,, and 31, the limitation "configured to limit a range of motion of said magnet in said degree of freedom" was not mentioned previously in the claims. It is considered new issues. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.